Abstract Review Process

All abstracts submitted to the 2018 ASM Microbial Dynamics and Infection meeting will be reviewed by at least one member of the Program Committee. The Committee will determine if the abstract merits inclusion in the program and, if accepted, will schedule the abstract in the appropriate session for the meeting.

An abstract may be rejected for one or more of the following reasons. All decisions relating to acceptance or rejection are final.

- No Background or Hypothesis - The abstract does not clearly indicate the reason for conducting the research or the question being tested by the experiments performed.
- Inadequate Experimental Methods - The investigators failed to describe procedures used or neglected to include important or essential controls.
- Insufficient Data Presented - The investigators failed to show the outcome(s) of their research. Insufficient data are presented to support the authors’ conclusion(s).
- No Summary Form of Essential Results - The investigators failed to concisely summarize the result(s) of their research.
- No Conclusion - The investigators failed to describe the conclusions of their research with regard to the hypothesis being tested.
- Duplicate Abstract - The abstract contents substantively overlap with contents of another submitted abstract by the same author or co-author. Reviewers may elect to accept a single abstract and suggest that the authors consolidate their material.
- Not appropriate for the Microbial Dynamics and Infection meeting - The content of the abstract is not relevant to the defined goals of the meeting.
- Promotional in Nature - The abstract was written to promote a specific product or procedure on behalf of a specific company or organization.
- Poorly Written - Improper use of the English language renders the abstract incomprehensible.
- Duplicate Presenting Author - An author can be the presenting author on only one abstract.